

Kanawha Valley Collective

Prioritization Committee

August 18, 2016

Attendance: Anita Adkins, Lisa Hudnall, Teresa Pate

Facilitators: Toni Tiano, Traci Strickland

Traci opened the meeting, welcomed everyone, and each attendee introduces themselves

Toni described an overview of the NOFA process including Tier 1 & 2, funding available, bonus projects, reallocation. Voluntary reallocation from S+C 1, S+C 2 and Twin Cities was discussed. This will allow all PSH, HMIS and Centralized Assessment grant to be in Tier 1 if these projects are prioritized this way.

Traci reviewed the project scoring tool and the outcomes scoring criteria.

Members agreed that they wished to reach a consensus for each scoring criteria under the scoresheet heading "Project Description and Grant Issues". The group reviewed the renewal projects first, then the new projects.

Prior to the meeting, each grant supplied information to the Prioritization committee based on the grant application and HUD monitoring and prioritization questions. These questionnaires were reviewed for each grant. Grant applications were available to clarify any needed information. As each was discussed, Traci reviewed the grant supplied outcomes for the APR scoresheet.

The group agreed that projects would be ranked based on the scoresheet scores. Ties would be settled by project utilization. Further ties would be determined by discussion of previous performance, reallocation and overall quality of agency supplied information.

Grants were reviewed in the following order:

Housing First I

Housing First III

Housing First IV

Housing First V

Shanklin Center for Senior Enrichment

Empowerment Homes
Twin Cities
Shelter + Care 1
Shelter + Care 2
Samaritan Inn
HMIS
SSO For Centralized Assessment (new reallocated project)
Rapid Rehousing Project (new reallocated project)
Housing First IV (new bonus project)

After all grants were reviewed, scored and outcomes scored, the final ranking is as follows:

1. Housing First I
2. Shanklin Center for Senior Enrichment
3. Shelter + Care 2
4. Housing First III
5. Shelter + Care 1
6. Twin Cities Center
7. Housing First V
8. Housing First IV
9. HMIS
10. SSO for Centralized Assessment
11. Empowerment Homes
12. Samaritan Inn
13. Rapid Rehousing Project
14. Housing First VI

Projects 1-11 are in Tier 1

Projects 12, 13, 14 are in Tier 2

The committee believed that it was important to keep HMIS and the new Centralized Assessment projects in Tier 1. HMIS because it is the support for all of our COC data that will be important going forward. Centralized Assessment because it will be the basis of the COC prioritization list, diversion and insuring individuals get the level of support needed.